Record #: 2020-094 <u>Name of bird form</u>: Hoary Redpoll <u>Committee member name</u>: Matt Fraker <u>Date of review</u>: 3/24/21 <u>Circulation number</u>: 1st <u>Vote</u>: A-PE(ph) <u>Comments</u>:

Record #: 2020-094 <u>Name of bird form</u>: Hoary Redpoll <u>Committee member name</u>: Robert Hughes <u>Date of review</u>: March 17, 2021 <u>Circulation number</u>: 1st <u>Vote</u>: A-PE <u>Comments</u>:

Record #: 2020-094 <u>Name of bird form</u>: Hoary Redpoll <u>Committee member name</u>: Davida Kalina <u>Date of review</u>: 23 March 2021 <u>Circulation number</u>: 1st <u>Vote</u>: A-WD(1) <u>Comments</u>:

Although the submitter's photos do not show the rump and undertail coverts, his written documentation does describe a pale rump and white undertail coverts. The written description and the photos, together, are consistent with Hoary Redpoll, and I am voting to accept this record.

Record #: 2020-094 <u>Name of bird form</u>: Hoary Redpoll <u>Committee member name</u>: Walter Marcisz <u>Date of review</u>: 3-12-21 <u>Circulation number</u>: 1st <u>Vote</u>: A-PE <u>Comments</u>:

Maybe. I am taking a leap of faith and voting to accept (but I would fully understand should others vote to reject). My decision is based on the extremely tiny bill, as well as the apparently immaculate white undertail coverts. The photos provided are admittedly less than ideal, and they surely leave a lot to be desired. I vote to accept for now, but I fully appreciate that others might have questions about this ID.

Record #: 2020-094 <u>Name of bird form</u>: Hoary Redpoll <u>Committee member name</u>: Adam Sell <u>Date of review</u>: 3/25/21 <u>Circulation number</u>: 1st <u>Vote</u>: A-PE <u>Comments</u>:

Record #: 2020-094 <u>Name of bird form</u>: Hoary Redpoll <u>Committee member name</u>: Paul Sweet <u>Date of review</u>: 4/5/21 <u>Circulation number</u>: 1st <u>Vote</u>: A-PE <u>Comments</u>:

I'm not sure that the photos or the documentation would be sufficient individually, but together they do appear to be.

Record #: 2020-094 <u>Name of bird form</u>: Hoary Redpoll <u>Committee member name</u>: Geoffrey A. Williamson <u>Date of review</u>: 22 December 2020 <u>Circulation number</u>: 1st <u>Vote</u>: A-PE

## Comments:

This one looks good for Hoary: pale back, "pushed in" bill, butterscotch tones on upper breast, paler head, undertail at the very least only sparsely marked (based on photo #1 and also somewhat photo #3). The observer noted a pale rump. Perhaps my vote should be A-S(1), but I think the photographs are good enough to conclude the identification.