

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2020-045

Name of bird form: Say's Phoebe

Committee member name: fraker

Date of review: 12/20/20

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-S(1)

Comments:

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2020-045

Name of bird form: Say's Phoebe

Committee member name: Davida Kalina

Date of review: 9 December 2020

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-S(1)

Comments:

The submitter's written description is consistent with Say's Phoebe. Although there is no description about how EAKI and EAPH were actually eliminated from consideration, I am voting to accept this record.

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2020-045

Name of bird form: Say's Phoebe

Committee member name: Walter Marcisz

Date of review: 12-15-20

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-S (1)

Comments:

Details provided in the written documentation seem to adequately describe a “textbook” juvenile/immature Say’s Phoebe and eliminate similar species. I am aware that separating Say’s from Eastern Phoebe is not always an easy task (and I have been fooled in the past, even after viewing photos), but I vote to accept, at least for this circulation.

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2020-045

Name of bird form: Say's Phoebe

Committee member name: Adam Sell

Date of review: 12/19/20

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-S (1)

Comments: Struggling with the sparse description that doesn't adequately rule out Eastern Phoebe despite a somewhat accurate description of a Say's Phoebe. I will tentatively vote yes for now.

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2020-045

Name of bird form: Say's Phoebe

Committee member name: Douglas Stotz

Date of review: 4 January 2021

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-S(1)

Comments:

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2020-045

Name of bird form: Say's Phoebe

Committee member name: Paul Sweet

Date of review: 12/3/20

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-S(1)

Comments:

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2020-045

Name of bird form: Say's Phoebe

Committee member name: Geoffrey A. Williamson

Date of review: 28 November 2020

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-S(1)

Comments:

Details of the appearance are adequate to eliminate anything else.