Record #: 1984-025

Name of bird form: Ruff

Committee member name: fraker

<u>Date of review</u>: 12/27/21 <u>Circulation number</u>: 1st

Vote: A-WD(1)
Comments:

Record #: 1984-025

Name of bird form: Ruff

Committee member name: Davida Kalina

Date of review: 6 January 2022

Circulation number: 1st

<u>Vote</u>: A-WD(1)

Comments:

Although the submitter's written documentation indicates that there was no eyering observed (when a weak broken eyering would likely be expected) and that the leg color noted was greenish by 1 observer and yellowish by another (rather than orangish expected for a female in breeding plumage), all other descriptions of plumage and morphology appear consistent with an adult female Ruff in breeding plumage. Perhaps viewing at a relatively-long distance of 100 yards through scopes might account for the notations about eyering and leg color. In this 1st round, I am voting to accept the record on the basis of the written documentation.

Record #: 1984-025

Name of bird form: Ruff

Committee member name: Walter Marcisz

Date of review: 1-2-22

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-WD (1)

Comments:

I vote to accept with the following caveat:

NOTE TO SECRETARY-

The faceplate for Record # 1984-025 currently lists the county location as "Ruff." Please correct the county location to "Monroe."

Record #: 1984-025

Name of bird form: Ruff

Committee member name: Adam Sell

<u>Date of review</u>: 1/15/22 <u>Circulation number</u>: 1st

Vote: A-WD Comments:

Record #: 1984-025

Name of bird form: Ruff

Committee member name: Paul Sweet

Date of review: 1/4/22

Circulation number: 1st

<u>Vote</u>: A-WD(1)

Comments:

Record #: 1984-025

Name of bird form: Ruff

Committee member name: Terry Walsh

<u>Date of review</u>: 1/6/2022 <u>Circulation number</u>: 1st

<u>Vote</u>: A-WD (1)

Comments:

Good description of a female-type Ruff

Record #: 1984-025

Name of bird form: Ruff

Committee member name: Geoffrey A. Williamson

Date of review: 21 December 2021

Circulation number: 1st

<u>Vote</u>: A-WD(1)

Comments:

I expect that this observation is of a Ruff, given its inclusion in the *American Birds* report with documentation submitted. However, the question here is whether the material that we have available now supports the identification. I find the detailed description convincing, and the "loose or fluffed feathers on wing" is very consistent with my experience with Ruffs.