

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2016-106

Name of bird form: Pomarine Jaeger

Committee member name: Fraker

Date of review: 08/01/19

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-E(ph)

Comments:

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2016-106

Name of bird form: Pomarine Jaeger

Committee member name: Davida Kalina

Date of review: 19 July 2019

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-E(photos)

Comments:

Plumage patterns on body and wingtips in the photos are consistent with adult POJA. The tail projection in the 1st photo also looks consistent for adult POJA. I vote to accept this record.

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2016-106

Name of bird form: Pomarine Jaeger

Committee member name: Walter Marcisz

Date of review: 7-15-19

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-E (photo)

Comments:

Distinctive shape of projecting central tail feathers is diagnostic for Pomarine Jaeger, and overall structure (thick neck, broad-based wings) is also strongly supportive of POJA.

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2016-106

Name of bird form: Pomarine Jaeger

Committee member name: Adam Sell

Date of review: 7/25/19

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-E (Photo)

Comments:

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2016-106

Name of bird form: Pomarine Jaeger

Committee member name: Douglas Stotz

Date of review: 27 July 2019

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-E(photo)

Comments: I would be happier with some written documentation, but the photos look to me to be a Pomarine Jaeger, despite the odd date.

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2016-106

Name of bird form: Pomarine Jaeger

Committee member name: Paul Sweet

Date of review: 7/13/19

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-E(photo)

Comments: The first shot appears to show the characteristic twisted tail streamers of a Pomarine. Don't know what this bird's doing here...

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2016-106

Name of bird form: Pomarine Jaeger

Committee member name: Geoffrey A. Williamson

Date of review: 4 July 2019

Circulation number: 1st

Vote: A-E(photo)

Comments:

This is apparently an adult or near-adult bird. The distinctive tail feather shape in photo #1 secures the identification.

I wish that a good written description had accompanied the photographs. Though I feel the photographs are enough, the second one is hardly helpful (and is confusing because of the way the lighting effects make the wings appear), and the first barely makes the case for the identification.