

## **IORC EVALUATION FORM**

**Record #:** 2013.065

**Name of bird form:** Mottled Duck

**Committee member name:** Josh Engel

**Date of review:** 10 Nov 2015

**Circulation number:** 3rd

**Vote:** U-I

**Comments:** I still think that the description is too brief and photo are too poor to accept this record. It may very well be a Mottled Duck, and given the observer's experience and knowledge that is likely, but I'm not comfortable accepting it as such based on the evidence provided.

## **IORC EVALUATION FORM**

**Record #:** 2013.065

**Name of bird form:** Mottled Duck

**Committee member name:** Dan Kassebaum

**Date of review:** 13 November 2015

**Circulation number:** 3rd

**Vote:** A-E(photo)

**Comments:**

observer at the highest level of skill and reputation

## **IORC EVALUATION FORM**

**Record #:** 2013.065

**Name of bird form:** Mottled Duck

**Committee member name:** Greg Lambeth

**Date of review:** November 2, 2015

**Circulation number:** 3rd

**Vote:** U - I

**Comments:**

I voted not to accept in the 2<sup>nd</sup> round and I'm going to stay with that vote. I think Doug Stotz summed it up well:

"I stand by my original judgement on this bird. It may very well be a Mottled Duck, maybe probably is, but the photo is just too blurry to be identifiable, and the very limited description doesn't completely convince me on a difficult ID, especially given hybridization issues in this complex."

IORC EVALUATION FORM

Record #: 2013.065

Name of bird form: Mottled Duck

Committee member name: McMullen

Date of review: 11-2-15

Circulation number: 3rd

Vote: A-E (photo)

Comments:

Bohlen's experience alone should make up for lack of a better  
writer (convincing to those not voting to accept) documentation.

## **IORC EVALUATION FORM**

**Record #:** 2013.065

**Name of bird form:** Mottled Duck

**Committee member name:** Douglas Stotz

**Date of review:** 1 November 2015

**Circulation number:** 3rd

**Vote:** U-I

**Comments:** I don't consider the photo identifiable. Thus I am forced to rely on the description, which is just too limited to accept on a bird with such identification issues.

## **IORC EVALUATION FORM**

**Record #:** 2013.065

**Name of bird form:** Mottled Duck

**Committee member name:** Paul Sweet

**Date of review:** 11/7/15

**Circulation number:** 3rd

**Vote:** U-I

**Comments:** Reviewer's comments and on-line conversations with Florida birders have made me more aware of the hybridization issue.

## **IORC EVALUATION FORM**

**Record #:** 2013.065

**Name of bird form:** Mottled Duck

**Committee member name:** Geoffrey A. Williamson

**Date of review:** 4 October 2015

**Circulation number:** 3rd

**Vote:** U-I

**Comments:**

The first round had four votes for "accepted" (A) and three for "unaccepted" (U). In the second round, one A vote switched to U (mine), and one U vote switched to A. In the second round I was swayed by concerns about being able to eliminate hybrids. I still feel this way and am keeping my vote as U for this third round.