

## **IORC EVALUATION FORM**

**Record #:** 1990-025

**Name of bird form:** Plumbeous Vireo

**Committee member name:** Fraker

**Date of review:** 9/30/18

**Circulation number:** 1st

**Vote:** A-S(2)

**Comments:** I think the observer's experience and approach to documentation brings some confidence to this sighting. I really like the fact that he saw this bird well enough to describe the tail with such detail.

Side note — the 2018 record in DuPage was May 2;

## IORC EVALUATION FORM

**Record #:** 1990-025

**Name of bird form:** Plumbeous Vireo

**Committee member name:** Walter Marcisz

**Date of review:** 10-16-18

**Circulation number:** 1st

**Vote:** A-S (1)

**Comments:**

I suppose it should come as no surprise that other Plumbeous Vireo documentations might emerge on the coattails of the acceptance of Illinois's first well-documented Plumbeous Vireo, and its subsequent addition to the official state list.

In this particular case, I believe this is an exceptionally detailed, concise documentation by an experienced observer. The observer even considered the possibility of axanthic Blue-headed Vireo, that is, a Blue-headed Vireo lacking in yellow pigments, finally deciding that possibility can be eliminated by the hints of green on the rump and of yellow on the vent on the Jackson Park bird.

I vote to accept.

## **IORC EVALUATION FORM**

**Record #:** 1990-025

**Name of bird form:** Plumbeous Vireo

**Committee member name:** McMullen

**Date of review:** 10-19-18

**Circulation number:** 1st

**Vote:** U-I

**Comments:** Likely the correct ID but I'm not comfortable confirming 1<sup>st</sup> state records w/o photos, 25+ years after the fact. No offense to the observer intended.

## **IORC EVALUATION FORM**

**Record #:** 1990-025

**Name of bird form:** Plumbeous Vireo

**Committee member name:** Adam Sell

**Date of review:** 9/30/18

**Circulation number:** 1st

**Vote:** A-S

**Comments:** Couldn't ask for a better description of a Plumbeous Vireo, and the research done was exemplary.

## IORC EVALUATION FORM

**Record #:** 1990-025

**Name of bird form:** Plumbeous Vireo

**Committee member name:** Douglas Stotz

**Date of review:** 15 October 2018

**Circulation number:** 1st

**Vote:** A-S(1)

**Comments:** I have no memory of having gone the following day to look for the Plumbeous Vireo, but given that it was not considered a separate species, and at the time I was not particularly dedicated to working on Illinois lists, it probably has just vanished from my brain. Anyway, I believe this bird was certainly a Plumbeous Vireo. Tom's discussion of the possibility of an axanthic Blue-headed Vireo is interesting, but both seems less likely than a vagrant Plumbeous Vireo and doesn't seem to match some of the plumage details he provides.

**IORC EVALUATION FORM**

**Record #:** 1990-025

**Name of bird form:** Plumbeous Vireo

**Committee member name:** Paul Sweet

**Date of review:** 10/28/18

**Circulation number:** 1st

**Vote:** A-S(1)

**Comments:** Well-described, from a very experienced observer.

## **IORC EVALUATION FORM**

**Record #:** 1990-025

**Name of bird form:** Plumbeous Vireo

**Committee member name:** Geoffrey A. Williamson

**Date of review:** 16 September 2018

**Circulation number:** 1st

**Vote:** A-S(1)

**Comments:**

My initial feeling in reviewing this record was that I was going to vote against it because it is a single observer sighting in a potentially confusing complex of bird forms. However, I instead am voting to accept because separating Plumbeous Vireo from is more straightforward, because the observer was very aware of the differences between the members of the Solitary Vireo complex and was consciously differentiating between these at the time of the observation, and because the observer is experienced and careful in observation.

I am writing this without having seen the original notes, which I am hoping will be submitted to be part of the record. The only concern that I have, after looking at what is available at this moment, is whether details provided in the report some 18 years after the observation accurately reflect what was seen.